



BE PART OF THE FIGHT!

# Procurement Policy Unit

(Established under section 6 of the Public Procurement Act, 2015)

Procurement Reference No: **G/ONB/30-02/2021/22**

## STANDARD FORM

*for*

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

[Issued in terms of section 7(1) (i) of the Public Procurement Act, 2015]

Ministry of Finance  
Head Office (6<sup>th</sup> Floor, room 6.11)  
Moltke Street  
Private Bag 13295  
Windhoek, Namibia

|Tel: +264 61 209 2463

|Fax: +264 61 230 179

|Website: [www.mof.gov.na/procurement-policy-unit](http://www.mof.gov.na/procurement-policy-unit)

## Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report

### Contract for Supply and Delivery of Computer, Monitors, Printers and Shredders to the Anti-Corruption Commission

Reference number of procurements: **G/ONB/30-02/2021/22**

1. **Scope of Contract:** Supply and Delivery of Computer, Monitors, Printers and Shredders to the Anti-Corruption Commission
2. **Procurement method used:** Open National Bid
3. **Date of Invitation of Bids:** 24 September 2021
4. **Closing date for submission of bids:** 25 October 2021
5. **Date and place of opening of bids:** 25 October 2021, Anti-Corruption Commission Head Office
6. **Number of bids received by closing date:** 22
7. **Number of bids received late:** 0
8. **Responsiveness of bids:**

No.	Bidder' s Name	Pricing at Bid Opening N\$	Responsiveness as per evaluation stage			Reasons why bid is not responsive
			Administrative and Legally Mandatory Documents	Technical Experience	Financial Evaluation	
1.	<b>Ekwakuti Trading CC</b> No. 13 Schubert Street Windhoek-West, Windhoek	<b>184,733.52</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder failed to provide quotation and proof of technical warranty.</li> </ul>
2.	<b>Action Information Technology CC</b> Erf 8529, Unit 4 Khomasdal, Windhoek	<b>280,776.87</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder did not quote according to the Technical Specification.</li> </ul>
3.	<b>GEE and Sons Trading CC</b> No: 10, Stephanus Street, Windhoek	<b>407,180.50</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Bidder not disqualified
4.	<b>XYLO Technology INV CC</b> Unit 3 Merensky Court Merensky Street, Ausspanplatz, Windhoek	<b>424,787.00</b>	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Bidder disqualified;</li> <li>• Bidder failed to attach copies of shareholders IDs;</li> </ul>

						therefore, ownership could not be confirmed.
5.	<b>Acunam Information Technology (Pty) LTD</b> 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, Feld Ecke Building Feld Street, Windhoek	<b>431,592.70</b>	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not attach one shareholder ID copy;</li> <li>Bidder attached one ID copy of non-Namibian citizen.</li> </ul>
6.	<b>Tekmak Investment CC</b> Erf No: 3128 Pasteur Street, Windhoek	<b>439,340.00</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not provide contactable referencing, toner not specified and delivery schedule incomplete.</li> </ul>
7.	<b>N Squared Technology CC</b> Coetzee Street, Unit 29, ParkTown, Windhoek	<b>461,414.00</b>	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder provided references that did not meet the requirements, the bidder never supplied and delivered the required items.</li> </ul>
8.	<b>TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD</b> , Erf 1/A/127, Kasino Street, Windhoek	<b>475,466.01</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
9.	<b>Virtua Technologies</b> 127 Sam Nuuyoma Drive Windhoek West, Windhoek	<b>478,007.42</b>	Yes	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
10.	<b>Holistic Horizons Investment CC</b> Erf No: 2078, Hofsanger Street, Khomasdal, Windhoek	<b>486,778.30</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder disqualified,</li> <li>Bidder did not provide client reference letters, no warranty, toner and yield cost stipulated.</li> </ul>
11.	<b>Green Enterprises Solutions PTY (LTD)</b> Unit 1, Garthanri Park, c/o Thorpe & Voigts Street, Southern Industrial Area, Windhoek	<b>533,347.44</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not quote according to the Technical Specification, no toner and yield cost specified.</li> </ul>
12.	<b>AA System Investment</b> Erf 1108, Hoanib, Cimbebasia, Windhoek	<b>568,064.55</b>	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not 100% Namibia owned company.</li> </ul>
13.	<b>Namib Office Suppliers CC</b> Erf 835, Dalton Street, Windhoek	<b>599,144.25</b>	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not provide copies of IDs or members of the shareholders;</li> </ul>

						<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Not 100% Namibia owned company.</li> </ul>
14.	<b>Namibia Office Equipment (Pty) LTD</b> Reger Park 5 & 6, 20 Hosea Kutako Drive, Windhoek	<b>621,944.24</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not provide quotation and the Warranty could not be determined.</li> </ul>
15.	<b>BlueSky Technology CC</b> Sinclair Park, Section 2, Unit 2 Sinclair Street, Windhoek	<b>650,694.15</b>	Yes	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
16.	<b>OTESA Technology (Pty) LTD</b> 46 Dr. Theo Ben Gurirab Street, Windhoek	<b>667,280.60</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not quote according to the Technical Specification.</li> </ul>
17.	<b>Rendezvous Investment CC</b> Unit 20, Sun Industrial Park Industria Street, Lafrenz, Windhoek	<b>677,091.24</b>	Yes	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
18.	<b>Schoeman's Office Systems</b> Schoemanshoek Building c/o Sam Nuyoma Drive & Nelson Mandela Ave, Windhoek	<b>682,185.05</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not quote according to the Technical Specification, no toner and yield cost specified.</li> </ul>
19.	<b>Namlink Trading Enterprises CC</b> Erf No: 1970, Susa Street, Khomasdal, Windhoek	<b>709,256.00</b>	Yes	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not provide relevant contactable referencing and major relevant contracts successfully completed.</li> </ul>
20.	<b>XN Information Technology CC</b> 3265 Krisante Street, Khomasdal, Windhoek	<b>769,974.21</b>	Yes	Yes	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder not disqualified</li> </ul>
21.	<b>WAIA Trading Enterprises CC</b> Erf: 462 Aimablaagte, Mariental	<b>826,593.40</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not quote according to the Technical Specification; technical Warranty does not meet the required time frame and delivery time – Bid document incomplete.</li> </ul>
22.	<b>Black house Investment CC</b> 25-27 Micker Street, Prosperita, Windhoek	<b>837,487.95</b>	Yes	Not Responsive and disqualified	Not Considered	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Bidder did not provide quotation.</li> </ul>

**9. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive:**

No.	Name	A. Price at Bid Opening N\$	B. Bid Price after corrections	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference <i>[If applicable]</i>	Rank
3.	GEE and Sons Trading CC	407,180.50	-	-	-	1
8.	TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD	475 466.01	-	-	-	2
9.	Virtua Technologies	478 007.42	-	-	-	3
15.	BlueSky Technology CC	650 694.15	-	-	-	4
17.	Rendezvous Investment CC	677 091.24	-	-	-	5
20.	XN Information Technology CC	769 974.21	-	-	-	6

**LOT 1: All in one Desktop**

No.	Name	A. Price at Bid per proposed award N\$	B. Bid Price after corrections	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference <i>[If applicable]</i>	Rank
3.	GEE and Sons Trading CC	-	-	-	-	-
8.	TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD	174,407.28	-	-	-	1
9.	Virtua Technologies	196,245.78	-	-	-	2
15.	BlueSky Technology CC	218,488.50	-	-	-	3
17.	Rendezvous Investment CC	248,927.62	-	-	-	4
20.	XN Information Technology CC	255,493.09	-	-	-	5

**LOT 2: SFF+ Monitor + All in one Stand**

No.	Name	A. Price at Bid per proposed award N\$	B. Bid Price after corrections	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference [If applicable]	Rank
3.	GEE and Sons Trading CC	193,085.00	-	-	-	3
8.	TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD	193,960.96	-	-	-	1
9.	Virtua Technologies	156,127.68	-	--	-	5
15.	BlueSky Technology CC	183,988.50	-	-	-	2
17.	Rendezvous Investment CC	204,280.60	-	-	-	4
20.	XN Information Technology CC	225,893.10	-	-	-	6

**LOT 3: Monitor**

No.	Name	A. Price at Bid per proposed award N\$	B. Bid Price after corrections	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference [If applicable]	Rank
3.	GEE and Sons Trading CC	16,548.50	-	-	-	2
8.	TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD	6,259.98	-	-	-	1
9.	Virtua Technologies	3,248.32	-	-	-	6
15.	BlueSky Technology CC	18,398.85	-	-	-	3
17.	Rendezvous Investment CC	18,523.12	-	-	-	4
20.	XN Information Technology CC	19,468.52	-	-	-	5

**LOT 4: Office Auto Feed Shredder**

No.	Name	A. Price at Bid per proposed award N\$	B. Bid Price after corrections	C. price after Adjustments N\$	D. Price after Margin of Preference [If applicable]	Rank
3.	GEE and Sons Trading CC	118,220.00	-	-	-	1
8.	TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD	45,679.56	-	-	-	5
9.	Virtua Technologies	36,959.30	-	-	-	4
15.	BlueSky Technology CC	140,300.00	-	-	-	2
17.	Rendezvous Investment CC	140,754.25	-	-	-	3
20.	XN Information Technology CC	275,672.25				6

**10. Methods of Evaluation and Selection**

Technical substantially responsive bidder or least cost selection method was used to determine a bidder. Through this method price proposals of all were considered and suppliers were ranked according to the lowest price and benefits of products proposed as follows:

No.	Proposed Successful Bidder Name(s)	LOT	Successful Bidder(s) Address	Contract Price: Including VAT
8.	<b>TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD</b>	LOT 1, 2 and 3	<b>TMU Delivery and Supply Services (Pty) LTD</b> , Erf 1/A/127 Kasino Street, Windhoek	<b>N\$374,628.22</b>
3.	<b>GEE and Sons Trading CC</b>	LOT 4	<b>GEE and Sons Trading CC</b> No: 10, Stephanus Street, Windhoek	<b>N\$118,220.00</b>

**11. Best Evaluated Bid is awarded the bid:**

Based on the evaluation which was carried out in accordance with the least cost selection methods and best evaluated Bidders as presented under 10. above as the best evaluated bids, they have met the minimum criteria required and prices are fair in comparison to that offered by other competitive bidders, therefore are awarded the Supply and Delivery of Computer, Monitors and Shredders respectively.

**NB! All bidders deviated from the A4 mobile printer specifications, quoted multifunction color printers, printers and cannot scan or make copies these two lots in the bid document have been cancelled reducing the lots to 4 and not 6 as initially.**